



- A Engagement opportunities for everyone in the organization.
- A False dichotomy of either/or injury causation.
- A Understanding and measuring the working interface.











Identifying Critical Behaviors

- A Steering team analyses of several recent years of injury reports.
- A Identifies 15-25 generic behaviors associated with over 90% of incidents.
- A Critical because if performed safe or at-risk they change the likelihood that an injury will occur.
- A Other important behaviors can be added.
- A After extracting the behaviors, operational definitions are written.

Seneric Behavior	Number of times seen	Percent of Incidents		
ine of fire	8	24%		
inch points	4	12%		
ves on path	7	21%		
ves on task/hands	4	12%		
scending/descending	4	12%		
ifting/lowering	6	18%		
)verextended/cramped	4			
Grip/force	2	6%		
ool selection/condition	9	27%		
ool use	4	12%		
arricades/warnings	3	9% 3% 9%		
ockout/tagout	1			
ve protection	3			
and/arm protection	5	15%		
lousekeeping	2	6%		
communication of hazard	2	6%		
ushing/pulling	3	9%		
Fotal Number of Behaviors	71			
Fotal Incident Reports	33			
Average per Incident	2.15			

Operational Definitions

- A Pinch Point Does the person keep body parts from area between moving or stationary parts that are closing or may close together? For example:
 - When using a spanner to open a valve, pull on wrench instead of push when there is an obstruction in front of the valve.
- A Tool Selection/Use Does the person select the correct tool for the job? Is the person using the tool as designed? For example:
 - When using a box cutter to cut open boxes, cut away from your body.
 - When selecting a wrench to loosen bolts on pump base, use a box end wrench.
- A Line of Fire Is the person positioned so that if something gives way, lets go, releases, sprays, or falls, he or she will not be contacted? For example:
 - When breaking open a flange, loosen bolts on farthest side first.
 - When grinding, stand out of the path of flying debris.

IFA Technical Conference, April 25-28, 2006 Vilnius, Lithuania

• When rodding out a valve, position face away from opening.

	Date:	Loca	ition:	# People Observed:	Observer #:	PCS	Group or Contra	actor:		
		1. Field	2. Plant			1				
	Time Free	3. Office	4. Shop	and an Denne Den Anthrit	O Weather		0			
	Time Frames Turn-Around or Down Day Activity			weather	? Weather Influence?		bservation?			
	D AM - 12 PM B PM - 12AM YES OF NO				YES	YES Or NO		Dr NO		
	12 PM - 6 PM 12 AM - 6 AM					Ko	Permit Verification			
	1. Operations Roberts EnviroVac LVR BFPE					Ney	Permit vermication			
	2. Maintenance	Trader Thu	rston Kel	bler Thompson E-Plus	SAGE	Lock, Tag & Try	YES Or	NO		
	3. I/E	NICO CM	F OB	I Manpowe	Mineserco	Hot Work	YES Or	NO		
1990 C	4. Other	Ross VIP Briggs Equips	Fri Lont The	t Car Onyx	Potter Oil	Line Breaking	YES Or	NO		
		Program Control Contro								
1000 M	Circle Applicable Choice or Write the Name In Above					SAFE	AT-RISK			
	1.0 Body Position									
THE REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	1.1 Line of Fire									
	1.2 Pinch Points									
The second se	1.3 Eyes on Path									
	1.4 Eyes on Tas						THE OWNER AND A REAL PROPERTY OF			
The second se	1.5 Ascending /	Descending	1					Constant States		
	2.0 Body Use /	Ergonomic	:S					AND THE R.		
ALC: NO. OF CONTRACT, NO.	2.1 Lifting / Lowering								CITER OF COMPANY	
Contraction of the second	2.2 Twisting								The second s	
CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE	2.3 Pushing / Pu	illing							and the second se	
1000000	2.4 Overextende	ed / Crampe	id in						Contraction of the local division of the loc	
10000	2.5 Response to Ergo Risks (Repetitive)								CONTRACTOR OF THE	
Contraction of the second	3.0 100IS / Equ	pment					-			
COMPANY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTI	3.1 Selection / C	ondition								
ALC: NOTE: N	3.2 USe 0.0 Volume / Operating / Operations / Uper									
VIII III	3.3 Vehicle / Selection / Condition / Use							-		
	4.0 Procedures							-	and the second sec	
A COLORED AND A	4.1 Lockout / Tagout – Energy Isolation							-		
100	4.2 Comined Space Entry 4.3 Hot Work Rehavior # Safe or At Risk Comment Rehavior #							1		
100							Behavior # Safe or At-Rick Comment			
	While (task) Sale of Al-Risk Comment Be					0				
	Wille (task)									
14										
	Was (at-risk) Was (
	Because (barrie	er)			Because (barrier)					
	booddoo (barnor)									
	Solution									
								Lange Contraction		
	Toy Yes or No. Toy Y								ant tipe	
	Aware of risk-	Yes or	No		Aware of rick	Yes or M	10			
	Agree with Risk	Yes or	No		Agree with Risk	sk Yes or N				
	Behavior Type: Enabled Difficult Non-Enabled Behavior Type: Enabled							on-Enabled		
Follow-Up Needed: Yes or No						eded: Yes or				

Gathering Data

- A No sneak ups, no spying open observation and discussion.
- A No Name No Blame.

- A Use definitions and data sheet as a guide.
- A 10% of employees actively perform observations.
- A Lasts for 10-15 minutes including feedback.



3 Types of Behavior

- A Enabled = Well within the persons control. Changing a light bulb on a table.
- A Difficult = Can be done but takes extra effort.
 Changing a light bulb in an overhead receptacle.
- A Non-Enabled = Not within the persons control. Changing a light bulb on top of a 100' pole.
- A Observers categorize behaviors in this manner



Role for Everyone

- A Wage roll employees The behavioral process provides true leadership opportunities for wage roll employees.
- A Supervisors Most influence over day to day activities that affect organizational performance outcomes.
- A Senior managers One of the most critical factors. Create the right climate and culture where an hourly led process can prosper.



- A Have implemented process in 21 of 23 facilities. Canada, Trinidad, and United States. Vendor has implemented around the world.
- A Currently implementing in last two sites.

- A Both unionized and non-unionized workplaces.
- A Employee populations range from 25 to 1100.









Conclusions

- A Concepts make logical sense and may sound simple.
- A Implementation to achieve long term results is more complicated.
- A BBS is not a silver bullet.

- A PotashCorp has achieved long term improvement.
- A Climate and culture around safety has gotten much healthier.
- A By everyone performing their role, the conversation around safety has changed from blame orientation to continuous improvement.